



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FUR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,890	07/29/2003	Anatoly S. Belkin	CE10788R/10-177	5559
22917	7590	09/21/2005	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			FERGUSON, KEITH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/629,890	BELKIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keith T. Ferguson	2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 July 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-12 and 14-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boero et al..

The claimed invention reads on Boero et al. as follows:

Regarding claims 1,4,5,8-10,14,17, Boero et al. discloses a mobile telephone (communication device) (communication controller) (communication device) for reformatting a caller identification when operating with a plurality of communication networks (i.e. mobile network or cellular network) (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38) comprising: a transceiver for communicating with a first and second communication network (inherent, since the mobile telephone looks up stored caller identification information received from a calling party number of an incoming call for allowing various prefixes specific to different telephone networks and different countries, as taught in abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2

Art Unit: 2683

line 53 through col. 3 line 30); and a controller (processor), coupled to the transceiver, to provide a reformatted caller identification corresponding to a first caller identification received from the first communication network (inherent, since the mobile telephone looks up stored caller identification information within received from a calling party number of an incoming call for allowing various prefixes specific to different telephone networks and different countries, as taught in abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30), the reformatted caller identification corresponding to a format for a second caller identification corresponding to the second communication network (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30).

Regarding claims 2,11 and 19, Boero et al. discloses the reformatted caller identification is provided by adjusting the number of characters of the first caller identification (col. 2 lines 30-34).

Regarding claims 3,6,12,15,20 and 22, Boero et al. discloses a memory for storing a telephone directory (template) (address book) (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2

Art Unit: 2683

lines 8-38), wherein the reformatted caller identification is provided according to the template (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38).

Regarding claims 7,16 and 23, Boero et al. discloses a display for displaying one of the reformatted caller identification and an address book entry corresponding to the reformatted caller identification (inherent, since the mobile telephone can display incoming caller identification which is compared with caller identification stored within the mobile telephone memory, as taught in col. 1 lines 6-17 and col. 2 lines 8-38).

Regarding claims 18,21 and 24, Boero et al. discloses a method for reformatting a caller identification when operating with a plurality of communication networks (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30) comprising: receiving a communication from one of the plurality of communication networks (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30), the communication comprising the caller identification; and reformatting the caller identification to provide a reformatted caller identification (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines

Art Unit: 2683

8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30), the reformatted caller identification corresponding to a caller identification format for an other of the plurality of communication networks (abstract, col. 1 lines 6-23, col. 2 lines 8-38 and col. 2 line 53 through col. 3 line 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boero et al. in view of Kimbell et al..

Regarding claim 13, Boero et al. discloses a mobile telephone (communication controller) as discussed supra in claim 10 above. Boero et al. differs from claim 13 of the present invention in that it does not disclose a public wide area communication network and a private local area communication network. Kimbell et al. teaches a mobile communication device receiving incoming caller identification in a local area network and a wide area network (paragraph 0038 lines 1-12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boero et al. networks with a public wide area communication network and a private local area communication network in order for the mobile telephone to distinguish incoming calls from someone within a

Art Unit: 2683

office building or calls from someone calling outside the office building, as taught by Kimbell et al..

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lazaridis et al. (U.S. Pub. 2005/0020286) discloses an advance user interface operation in a dual node wireless device. O'Prey (U.S. Pub. 2002/0013163) discloses a cellular communication device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7865. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Keith Ferguson
Art Unit 2683
September 13, 2005

KEITH FERGUSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
